

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,767	01/05	5/2004	Chao-Ming Huang	HUAN3238/EM	1665	
23364	7590	10/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BACON &	THOMAS, I	DUONG,	DUONG, HUNG V			
625 SLATE FOURTH F			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
- • •	RIA, VA 223	314	2835			
				DATE MAILED: 10/19/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				IV				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/750,767	HUANG ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hung v. Duong	2835					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MM	ONTH(S) FROM					
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comr ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
· —	•	This action is non-final.						
3)	/ 							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applic	eation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-12</u> is/are rejected.	•						
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to.	·						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers		•					
9) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[] accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).				
11) 🔲 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🛛 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in Ap	plication No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have been r	received in this National Sta	age				
	application from the International B	` ' ' '						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.	<i>i</i> .				
Attachment	(c)		Hay V.					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \prod Interview St	ımmary (PTO-413) HUNG VA	N DUONG				
2) D Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	.8) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date DRIMARY	EXAMINEH				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date	5B/08) 5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-15)2)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: missed spelling "aid" in line 7, should change to --said--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6, 8-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zainaleain (US Pat. 5,191,276) in view of Oddsen, JR (US 2004/0222344).

Regarding claims 1-3, 6, 8-12, Zainaleain discloses in figures 2-3, a casing 10, the casing 10 having a back side and at least two recessed locating holes 52 respectively disposed in at least two corners of the back side; and two plugs 42 to be respectively press-fitted to two of the at least two recessed locating holes 52 adjacent to one same peripheral side of the casing 10, the plugs 42 each comprising a center through hole, and a pin 54 insertable into the center through hole to radially expand the respective plug 42 into friction engagement with one of the at least two recessed

Art Unit: 2835

locating holes 52 of the casing 10 into which the respective plug 42 is press-fitted, the pin 54 having an outer diameter greater than an inner diameter of the center through hole 52 wherein the plugs 42 comprising a head, wherein the head comprising a bottom side and an upper surface wherein the bottom side of the head is stopped outside the back side of the casing when the plug inserted into one of the at least two recessed locating holes of the casing wherein the outer surface of the shank of each of the plug is peripherally ribbed; at least two recessed locating holes each are peripherally ribbed on the wall inside wherein the pin of each of the plugs is respectively made from metal wherein the pin of each of the plugs each further comprising a pull tab at one end wherein the pull tab is fastened pivotally with the end of the pin wherein the pull tab is a pull ring.

Zainaleain fails to disclose the plug extend from locating holes. However

Oddsen, JR discloses the plug extend from locating holes (see para. 103). Therefore, it
would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the plug extend from
locating holes of Serjak et al into Zainaleain's plug in order to secure and support the
component.

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zainaleain (US Pat. 5,191,276) in view of Oddsen, JR (US 2004/0222344) and further in view of Versluys (US Pat. 6,752,264).

Regarding claim 7, Zainaleain and Oddsen, JR disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention except for the plugs is respectively molded from rubber. However Versluys discloses the plugs are respectively molded from rubber (see Versluys 's

Art Unit: 2835

column 3, lines 31-35). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to include the plugs are respectively molded from rubber of Versluys into Zainaleain and Oddsen, JR's plug in order to seal the passages.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to disclose the head comprising a recess on the upper surface of the head.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/750,767

Art Unit: 2835

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung v Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-2041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Field can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HVD

10/12/05.

Hung Duong

Primary Examiner.

Page 5